



**Report with the options for the reduction to be applied to the
Buildings staying with a different Administrator**

1. COSTS

The cost of providing these services to the sub community buildings by the HRGRA team is included within the recharges from the GC and EUCC. The advantages of “economies of scale” of the active staff, contract management, bank account and accounting services enable the incremental cost of each building to be minimal.

If the building is to be managed by Resortalia (or other) then there will be an additional charge to be negotiated by each Sub Community President with their Administrator.

Historically, there was one building which opted not to be managed by Resortalia and this building paid the same central admin costs as all other buildings.

However, the board recognise that there may be some marginal “time” savings because of the buildings staying with Resortalia or other Administrator. Based on the historic activities, the split between central and sub community activity was estimated at about 75:25 and there are now 5 out of 78 not moving to the self-admin.

As a goodwill gesture, the board are prepared to offer an allowance based on the new self admin costs and the building quotas for those not moving.

2. 75/25

The calculation has been done by coefficient, Taking as a reference 121.289, 10 €.

Once the 121.289, 10 € are split by Phase and by Building, it is split into 25% and 75% of the result by Building. Therefore, the 75% is the amount that all 78 buildings must pay. And the 25% is the amount related to the Buildings so the amount that can be reduced to those buildings which are not with the self-admin.

The chart below shows in the last column the amount that will be reduced from the self-admin.

	25%
Albahaca 6	319,51
Eneldo 3	387,88
Cilantro 8	408,16
Ajedrea 4	399,44
Jengibre 7	389,70